

ì

Narrabri LEP 2012 Amendment No 3 - Minor Amendments

Proposal Title :	Narrabri LEP 2012 Amendme	nt No 3 - Minor Amendments	
Proposal Summary :	- rectify minor anomalies and landuse tables,	d insert additional uses in the tion clause relating to the co RU4 zones, 28, DP 758756, Wukuwa St, N	e RU1, RU4, B1, IN1, IN2, & RE1 zone onsideration of detached dual larrabri from RE1 to R1, and
PP Number :	PP_2014_NARRB_001_00	Dop File No :	14/08516
oposal Details			
Date Planning Proposal Received :	27-May-2014	LGA covered :	Narrabri
Region :	Northern	RPA :	Narrabri Shire Council
State Electorate :	BARWON	Section of the Act :	55 - Planning Proposal
LEP Type :	Spot Rezoning		
ocation Details			
Street :			
Suburb :	City :		Postcode :
Land Parcel : AI	l land zoned RU1, RU4, B1, IN1, II	N2 & RE1 in Narrabri LEP 20	12.
Street :			
Suburb :	City :		Postcode :
	ots 8 & 9, Section 28, DP 758756, \ Walton St, Boggabri	Wukuwa St, Narrabri from RI	E1 to R1 and Lot 169 DP 755475,
DoP Planning Off	icer Contact Details		
Contact Name :	Gina Davis		
Contact Number :	0267019687		
Contact Email :	gina.davis@planning.nsw.gov.	au	
RPA Contact Deta	ails		
Contact Name :	Hamish McTaggert		
Contact Number :	0267996863		
Contact Email :	hamishm@narrabri.nsw.gov.au	ı	
DoP Project Mana	iger Contact Details		
Contact Name :			
Contact Number :			
Contact Email :			

N/A	Release Area Name :	
	Consistent with Strategy :	
	Date of Release :	
	Type of Release (eg Residential / Employment land) :	
0	No. of Dwellings (where relevant) :	0
0	No of Jobs Created :	0
Yes		
communications and me Region's knowledge. The this proposal, nor has the	eetings with lobbyists has been com e Northern Region has not met with ne Northern Region been advised of	plied with to the best of the any lobbyists in relation to any meeting between other
No		
t		
jectives - s55(2)(a)		
jectives provided? Yes		
-		oposal are adequately expressed
sions provided - s55	(2)(b)	
visions provided? Yes		
		e intended provisions to achieve
)(c)		
v been agreed to by the D	irector General? Yes	
y been agreed to by the b		
tified by RPA :	1.1 Business and Industrial Zon	es
1 1	0 0 Yes The Department of Plant communications and mark Region's knowledge. The this proposal, nor has the Departmental Officers and No t t fectives - s55(2)(a) jectives provided? Yes The objectives and interproposed areas sions provided? Yes The Planning Proposed the objectives and interproposed the objectives and the objectives and	Consistent with Strategy : Date of Release (eg Residential / Employment land) : 0 No. of Dwellings (where relevant) : 0 No of Jobs Created : Yes

ì

		3.1 Residential Zones
		4.3 Flood Prone Land
		4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection
		6.1 Approval and Referral Requirements
		6.2 Reserving Land for Public Purposes
		6.3 Site Specific Provisions
Is the Director Ger	neral's agreement required	l? Yes
c) Consistent with Sta	andard Instrument (LEPs)	Order 2006 : Yes
d) Which SEPPs have	e the RPA identified?	SEPP No 30—Intensive Agriculture
		SEPP (Housing for Seniors or People with a Disability) 2004
		SEPP (Infrastructure) 2007
		SEPP (Major Projects) 2005
		SEPP (Mining, Petroleum Production and Extractive Industries)
		2007 SEPP (Rural Lands) 2008
		SEPP (Rural Lands) 2000 SEPP (Affordable Rental Housing) 2009
e) List any other		
	The New England	North West Strategic Regional Land Use Plan applies to the Narrabri
		North West Strategic Regional Land Use Plan applies to the Narrabri
matters that need to be considered :	LGA.	North West Strategic Regional Land Use Plan applies to the Narrabri eing adequately justified? Yes
matters that need to be considered :	LGA. with items a), b) and d) be	
matters that need to be considered : Have inconsistencies If No, explain :	LGA. with items a), b) and d) be I - s55(2)(d)	
matters that need to be considered : Have inconsistencies If No, explain : /apping Provided Is mapping provided?	LGA. with items a), b) and d) be I - s55(2)(d) ? Yes	eing adequately justified? Yes
matters that need to be considered : Have inconsistencies If No, explain : Iapping Provided	LGA. with items a), b) and d) be I - s55(2)(d) Yes The Planning Prop	eing adequately justified? Yes posal has included identification and zoning maps that clearly show to be rezoned. The mapping is considered to be adequate for
matters that need to be considered : Have inconsistencies If No, explain : Mapping Provided Is mapping provided? Comment :	LGA. with items a), b) and d) be l - s55(2)(d) Yes The Planning Prop the subject lands	eing adequately justified? Yes posal has included identification and zoning maps that clearly show to be rezoned. The mapping is considered to be adequate for
matters that need to be considered : Have inconsistencies If No, explain : Iapping Provided Is mapping provided? Comment : Community consu	LGA. with items a), b) and d) be - s55(2)(d) Yes The Planning Prop the subject lands exhibition purpos	eing adequately justified? Yes posal has included identification and zoning maps that clearly show to be rezoned. The mapping is considered to be adequate for es.
matters that need to be considered : Have inconsistencies If No, explain : Iapping Provided Is mapping provided? Comment : Community consu	LGA. with items a), b) and d) be I - s55(2)(d) Yes The Planning Prop the subject lands exhibition purpos Iltation - s55(2)(e) ultation been proposed? Y	eing adequately justified? Yes posal has included identification and zoning maps that clearly show to be rezoned. The mapping is considered to be adequate for es.
matters that need to be considered : Have inconsistencies If No, explain : Mapping Provided Is mapping provided? Community consu Has community consu	LGA. with items a), b) and d) be I - s55(2)(d) Yes The Planning Prop the subject lands exhibition purpos Iltation - s55(2)(e) ultation been proposed? Y	eing adequately justified? Yes posal has included identification and zoning maps that clearly show to be rezoned. The mapping is considered to be adequate for es.
matters that need to be considered : Have inconsistencies If No, explain : Iapping Provided Is mapping provided? Community consu Has community consu Comment :	LGA. with items a), b) and d) be l - s55(2)(d) ? Yes The Planning Prop the subject lands exhibition purpos Iltation - s55(2)(e) ultation been proposed? Y Council has ident	eing adequately justified? Yes posal has included identification and zoning maps that clearly show to be rezoned. The mapping is considered to be adequate for es. Yes ified a 28 day exhibition period for the proposal. This is considered to
matters that need to be considered : Have inconsistencies If No, explain : Mapping Provided Is mapping provided? Community consu Has community consu Comment : Additional Directo	LGA. with items a), b) and d) be I - s55(2)(d) Yes The Planning Prop the subject lands exhibition purpos Iltation - s55(2)(e) ultation been proposed? Y Council has identibe appropriate.	eing adequately justified? Yes posal has included identification and zoning maps that clearly show to be rezoned. The mapping is considered to be adequate for es. Yes ified a 28 day exhibition period for the proposal. This is considered to ents

Does the proposal meet the adequacy criteria? Yes

If No, comment :	The Planning Proposal and accompanying documentation are considered to satisfy the adequacy criteria by: 1. Providing appropriate objectives and intended outcomes; 2. Providing a suitable explanation of the provisions proposed by the LEP to achieve the outcomes; 3. Providing an adequate justification for the proposal; 4. Outlining a proposed community consultation program; and 5. Providing a project time line.
	Council is seeking an authorisation to exercise its plan making delegations. As the Planning Proposal deals only with matters of local significance, it is considered appropriate that an authorisation to exercise its plan making delegations be issued to Council.

	 While Council has provided a project time line which outlines the basic steps involved in the LEP, it does not give an indication of the overall timeframe. Verbal discussions with Council staff indicate that they expect the LEP to be ready for submission to the Department for notification within 9 months of the Gateway Determination date. A revised project time line that addresses all the additional steps to be completed by Council, including referral to Parliamentary Counsel, if an authorisation to exercise delegation is issued, will need to be included in the Planning Proposal prior to public exhibition. The Planning Proposal will also need to be amended prior to public exhibition to delete the heads of consideration clause in relation to detached dual occupancies in the RU1 and RU4 zones. The Planning Proposal does not need to include a definititive clause but rather just the intended outcomes of such a clause that will be drafted by Parliamentary Counsel at a later date.
Proposal Assessment	
-	
Principal LEP:	
Due Date :	
Comments in relation to Principal LEP :	Narrabri LEP was made in December 2012.
Assessment Criteria	
Need for planning proposal :	The proposal to amend the LEP is not the subject of a specific strategic study or report.
	The need for the Planning Proposal arose after it became evident through operational experience that the LEP would benefit from a series of minor changes to the land use tables for Zones RU1, RU4, B1, IN1, IN2 & RE1 to permit additional landuses that are suitable, and in some cases, currently operating within these zones. One of these landuses, detached dual occupancies in the RU1 and RU4 zones, has necessitated Council to also propose the insertion of a heads of consideration clause to guide this type of development. The Planning Proposal has included proposed wording for this clause, however final wording will be resolved by Parliamentary Counsel.
	The Planning Proposal also seeks to amend Narrabri LEP 2012 to correct several map zoning errors that had been carried over from the previous LEP. The zoning of Lots 8 & 9, Section 28, DP 758756, Wukuwa St, Narrabri will be rezoned from RE1 to R1 to reflect the residential use of the land and Lot 169 DP 755475, 80 Walton St, Boggabri will be rezoned from SP2 to R1 to correct a mapping error.
	Proposed changes made to the landuse tables for Zones RU1, RU4, B1, IN1, IN2 & RE1, the insertion of a heads of consideration clause relating to detached dual occupancies in the RU1 and RU4 zones and the rezoning of the subject lots are all considered appropriate and will help to ensure the ongoing effective use of Narrabri LEP 2012.

Consistency with strategic planning	Whilst no Regional Strategies apply to the Narrabri LGA, the New England North West Strategic Regional Land Use Plan does apply. The Planning Proposal is considered to be
framework :	consistent with the provisions of the Regional Land Use Plan.
	The Planning Proposal has been identified as being consistent with all applicable SEPPs and section 117 Directions except the following;
	4.3 Flood Prone Land
	This Direction is relevant as Lots 8 & 9, Section 28, DP 758756, Wukuwa St, Narrabri are identified as flood prone land. The proposal is however considered to be justifably inconsistent and a matter of minor significance as the land is already being used for residential purposes, with each lot being fully serviced and containing a single residential dwelling. For this reason, consultation with the Office of Environment and Heritage is also considered unnecessary in this case.
	4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection
	This Direction is relevant as the Planning Proposal will affect land that is identified as bushfire prone land. The Direction requires the RPA to consult with the Commissioner of the NSW Rural Fire Service after a Gateway Determination has been issued. Until this consultation has occurred the consistency of the proposal with the Direction remains unresolved.
	6.2 Reserving Land for Public Purposes
	This Direction applies as the Planning Proposal will reduce the area of land available for public purposes or reservations. The Planning Proposal aims to rezone Lots 8 & 9, Section 28, DP 758756, Wukuwa St, Narrabri from RE1 to R1 and Lot 169 DP 755475, 80 Walton St, Boggabri from SP2 to R1. The inconsistency arises as Council has not yet obtained the approval of the Director General. This inconsistency is considered to be of minor significance as Lots 8 & 9, Section 28, DP 758756, Wukuwa St, Narrabri will be rezoned to
	reflect the residential use of the land and Lot 169 DP 755475, 80 Walton St, Boggabri will be rezoned to correct a mapping error and reflect the surrounding residential zoning.
Environmental social economic impacts :	No significant adverse environmental, social or economic impact has been identified as resulting from the proposal.

Assessment Process

Proposal type :	Routine		Community Consultation Period :	28 Days
Timeframe to make LEP :	9 months		Delegation :	RPA
Public Authority Consultation - 56(2) (d) :	NSW Rural Fire Servic	e		
Is Public Hearing by the I	PAC required?	No		
(2)(a) Should the matter [proceed ?	No		
If no, provide reasons :				
Resubmission - s56(2)(b)) : No			
If Yes, reasons :				
Identify any additional stu	udies, if required. :			

If Other, provide reasons :

Identify any internal consultations, if required :

No internal consultation required

Is the provision and funding of state infrastructure relevant to this plan? $\ensuremath{\text{No}}$

If Yes, reasons :

Documents

Document File Name	DocumentType Name	Is Public
Council cover letter.pdf	Proposal Covering Letter	Yes
Council resolution.pdf	Proposal	Yes
PLANNING PROPOSAL.docx	Proposal	Yes
Attachment 4 - Evaluation criteria.pdf	Proposal	No

Planning Team Recommendation

Preparation of the planning proposal supported at this stage : Recommended with Conditions

S.117 directions:	1.1 Business and Industrial Zones
S. 117 directions.	1.1 Business and industrial Zones 1.2 Rural Zones
	1.5 Rural Lands
	3.1 Residential Zones
	4.3 Flood Prone Land
	4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection
	6.1 Approval and Referral Requirements
	6.2 Reserving Land for Public Purposes
	6.3 Site Specific Provisions
Additional Information :	It is recommended that:
	1. The Planning Proposal be supported;
	2. The Planning Proposal be exhibited for 28 days;
	3. The Planning Proposal be completed within 6 months;
	4. That the RPA consult with the Commissioner of the NSW Rural Fire Service in
	accordance with the requirements of S117 Direction 4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection;
	5. That the Director General (or his delegate) agree that the inconsistencies with section
	117 Directions 4.3 Flood Prone Land and 6.2 Reserving Land for Public Purposes are of minor significance;
	6. That the Director General (or his delegate) note the current inconsistency with section
	117 Direction 4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection and that it is anticipated this
	inconsistency will need to be resolved prior to the proposal being finalised;
	7. That an authorisation to exercise delegation be issued to Council; and
	8. That a revised project time line (that addresses all the additional steps to be completed
	by Council due to an authorisation to exercise delegation being issued) be included in the Planning Proposal prior to public exhibition.
	9. That the Planning Proposal be amended prior to exhibition so that it only contains a
	plain English explanation of the intent of the proposed detached dual occupancy in Zones RU1 and RU4 clause.
Supporting Reasons :	The Planning Proposal will make various corrections and amendments that are
oupporting reasons	considered important in allowing the LEP to operate effectively and accurately.
	considered important in anoming the EET to operate checkfory and acculately.

arrabri LEP 2012 Amendment No 3 - Minor Amendments				
Signature:	A			
Printed Name:	Cruig Diss Date: 3/6/14			